

Order Granting Motion to Compel and Holding Procedural Deadlines and Hearing in Abeyance - is Null and Void

Section 1) The Public Service Commission replied to my FOIA request and failed to answer all the questions except one. An attempt was made to answer it, but everything else was either left blank or the Commission used fictional conveyance of language to get out of answering the questions and in common man's language used fancy double, triple, quadruple speak with many different definitions to make it seem like an answer was provided. This is not satisfactory and I am giving you till Monday at noon to answer all the answers completely.

These are the questions that need to answered:

Second I have a few questions that are required to be answered for me to accept your offer/motion to compel.

Question #1: Duke Energy has sent in the below:

"Enclosed for filing in the above-referenced docket, please find Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC's ("DEC" or the "Company") Motion to Compel. The Company requests the Commission compel Complainant to respond to its discovery requests by a date certain and dismiss the Complaint should Complainant fail to provide complete responses to the Company's discovery requests by the date established by the Commission. As the Company has shown in its Motion, Mr. Kasoshnikov's responses to the Company's discovery requests are necessary for it to prepare and file direct testimony and to meaningfully participate in the hearing. DEC also requests that the Commission hold its testimony deadline and the hearing in abeyance pending resolution of this motion."

Question #1 follows: Who is Mr. Kasoshnikov's? I want to send a motion of discovery to this person? If this party is not Duke Energy and it is not Alex then your ruling of a motion to compel is null and void as I have yet to even address an email or receive correspondence from this individual.

Question #2 The Public Service Commission has written:

"I move that the Commission grant the Motion to Compel with regard to Duke Energy Carolinas' first discovery requests, and hold in abeyance a ruling on whether to compel answers to the second discovery requests at this time. With regard to the first discovery requests, the response by the Complainant related to invoking the Fifth Amendment to the United States Constitution is not valid, since the information requested by Duke cannot lead to criminal liability on the part of the Complainant. The Complainant will have fifteen (15) days from the date of the Order in this matter to respond to the First Duke Discovery Requests under my Motion. I further move that if the Complainant does not furnish the information requested in the first discovery requests, that the Commission consider dismissal of the Complaint and/or additional relief. It is duly noted that the Company has stated that the Complainant has until April 26 to respond to the Company's second set of discovery requests. Therefore I believe that it is premature for the Commission to rule on any Motion to Compel related to these requests."

I also move that the Commission stay any further pre-filing dates for testimony and exhibits, and the hearing date, until further notice of the Commission.”

Question #2 follows: what is the definition of “invoking”

#2 b: definition of “Fifth Amendment”

#2 b part 2: Which Constitution are you referring too? There are two different constitutions.

#2 c: definition of “United States”

#2 d: definition of “not valid”

#2 e: definition of “information”

#2 f: definition of “requested”

#2 g: definition of “cannot”

#2 h: definition of “criminal liability”

#2 i: definition of “part of complainant”

#2 j: definition of “the Complainant”

#2 k: definition of “15 in italics”

#2 l: definition of “date of the order”

#2m: definition of “does not furnish”

#2 n: definition of “the information requested”

#2 o: definition of “consider dismissal”

#2 p: definition of “the complaint”

#2 q: definition of “and/or additional relief”

#2 r: definition of “duly noted”

#2 s: definition of “premature”

#2 t: definition of “to rule on”

#2 u: definition of “move the commission”

#2 v: definition of “stay any further”

#2 w: definition of “pre-filing dates for testimony”

#2 x: definition of “further notice of the commission”

There are many definitions to words, their definitions change with a few letters in the beginning of those words, definitions change with the word "the" before a word. For me to understand your agreement and/or contract, I need to know all the possible definitions of the above words, to know what I am agreeing to #1. I need to know the exact meaning that you are using for that word with the words around it #2. I also need to know which law dictionary those words came out of #3. I need to know the definition of those words as outlined in the specific law dictionary listed in #3, #4.

Section 2) Which party is represented by Mr. Kasoshnikov's? There was no documentation filed by this individual.

1. Who is Mr. Kasoshnikov's?
2. Why have I not seen any legal contracts telling me what side he represents?

3. The Public Service Commission is committing a violation of law as all parties of record need to be in the loop when it comes to communication.
4. Where did Mr. Kasoshnikov's come from?
5. Why is this the first time that I have heard of Mr. Kasoshnikov's?
6. What corporate entity does Mr. Kasoshnikov's represent?
7. Does Mr. Kasoshnikov's have diplomatic immunity?
8. Show me in the law where a third party can just appear out of somewhere and affect the outcome of a case.
9. When a party that is not of the record is supplied with confidential information without another parties knowledge, what crime has occurred? Statute and code please. What federal law is being broken, please?
10. Who is now liable for this crime? List the individuals by name.

I never agreed to have another party appear, and now become a part of this case, and therefore procedures have been broken, my rights violated and it needs to be reminded immediately. I am giving the a Public Service Commission by Monday May 10, 2021 at 3 p.m. eastern standard time to remedy this.

Section 3) every question must be answered with definitions or the following code will be used against Mr. Wellborn, (the other lawyers at Duke not currently mentioned but may be) and Mr. Butler, Justin T. Williams Chairman, Florence P. Belser Vice, Stephen "Mike" Caston, Carolyn "Carolee" L. Williams, Thomas J. "Tom" Ervin, Headen B. Thomas, Delton W. Powers.

18 U.S. Code § 1001 - Statements or entries generally:

(a) Except as otherwise provided in this section, whoever, in any matter within the jurisdiction of the executive, legislative, or judicial branch of the Government of the United States, knowingly and willfully—

- (1) falsifies, conceals, or covers up by any trick, scheme, or device a material fact;
- (2) makes any materially false, fictitious, or fraudulent statement or representation; or
- (3) makes or uses any false writing or document knowing the same to contain any materially false, fictitious, or fraudulent statement or entry;

shall be fined under this title, imprisoned not more than 5 years or, if the offense involves international or domestic terrorism (as defined in section 2331), imprisoned not more than 8 years, or both. If the matter relates to an offense under chapter 109A, 109B, 110, or 117, or section 1591, then the term of imprisonment imposed under this section shall be not more than 8 years.

Mr. Wellborn and Mr Butler and all the commissioners listed above are using false and misleading statements, fictitious conveyance of language , to commit perjury, fraud, deception, illusion, to exercise Authority unlawfully, in closed courts, that operate only in fiction illusion, deception.

Section 4:

I asked for the bond information for Mr. Butler along with each commissioner and you have failed to provide this. I am requiring you to send me the information about Mr. Butler's Bond. I am requiring you to send me the bond for the following individuals: Justin T. Williams Chairman, Florence P. Belser Vice, Stephen "Mike" Caston, Carolyn "Carolee" L. Williams, Thomas J. "Tom" Ervin, Headen B. Thomas, Delton W. Powers. I am requiring you to tell me if the Public Service Commission has a Bond as a whole overall Commissioners and lawyers. I am requiring you to tell me how much the bond is for?

There are other laws that have been broken which are not mentioned above. By accepting this contract you agree to all the outlined terms. If you need clarification or a question feel free to reach out to me. I expect a response by Monday May 10, 2021 at 3 p.m. eastern standard time. If it is not received then you have consented to all of the above and more.

:Sir Alex

:All rights reserved

:Copy-right / copy-claim